

Dressler, Amy

From: Brian Zenk <zenkster@gmail.com>
Sent: Tuesday, January 13, 2015 10:49 AM
To: Mailbox, Commission-Public-Records
Subject: A NO VOTE

Could you please VOTE NO to allow SHELL to use the Port of Seattle for staging drilling operations in the pristine ARCTIC wilderness.

--

Brian Zenk
2069350301
2062272177

Dressler, Amy

From: Louise Stonington <lstoning@msn.com>
Sent: Tuesday, January 13, 2015 10:07 AM
To: Mailbox, Commission-Public-Records
Subject: Deny Shell oil Permit

To the Port of Seattle Commissioners
From: Louise Stonington Seattle WA
lstoning@msn.com
January 13, 2015

RE: Port permission for Shell Oil to use the Port of Seattle as a service center for drilling operations

The Port of Seattle should not allow Shell Oil to establish a service center for drilling operations. The Port has an obligation to protect the safety of our citizens and the security of our waters and natural resources, which are the basis of our economy.

Every business related decision should now include consideration of its effects on the warming climate, a serious vulnerability to our national security according to the US Military.

Our economy would be stronger with a shift away from the burning of fossil fuels, which are the main cause of global warming.

Excellent alternatives exist, such as solar, wind, batteries, and energy efficiency. Continued investment in oil to be used in the future only increases sunk assets. Policies should encourage investment in clean energy and efficiency, which are dropping rapidly in cost, are already cheaper than conventional energy in many places, and which create more jobs per BTU than use of fossil fuels.

Studies show that businesses could increase profits and create millions of new jobs if fossil fuels costs were higher and businesses provided consumers with more energy options.

A calculation of cost/benefits to a community which includes the cost of damages from the extreme weather, acidification, floods, droughts, and their effects on water and food resources puts the price of using oil and other fossil fuels much higher than the clean energy and efficiency that are available

Risks from oil spills and explosions are also a consideration. Continuing development of oil, including oil in the Arctic, risks disaster. There are numerous examples of accidents that demonstrate the high risk to the environment: the wreck of the drilling ship Kulluk, the failure of the containment dome, and spills from the Exxon Valdez and Deepwater Horizon.

Polls show that most people in the US want more clean energy. Recent writing by former Secretaries of the Treasury call for federal action to help the transition to a clean energy economy. The Port of Seattle decision not to allow a Shell drilling operation here will be a step forward for safety and economic stability.

T-5 Correspondence – Emails

We have received emails regarding Foss's T5 proposal from the following senders. All of these arrived in the past few 24 hours, and all express opposition to the proposal.

First Name	Last Name	Email
Jonny	Layefsky	rockyjon@earthlink.net
Anne	Chafee	anne@senrei.com
Aaron	Kahn	aaronminus20@gmail.com
Keith	Neal	windrider4002@yahoo.com
Jan	Keller	jankeller3@gmail.com
Antonia	Potter	antoniapotter@comcast.net
Alice	Royer	mailto:ollie_orca@yahoo.com
Jared	Howe	jaredchowe@gmail.com

Mannion, Brian

From: Mailbox, Commission-Public-Records
Sent: Tuesday, January 13, 2015 10:05 AM
To: Mannion, Brian
Subject: FW: proposal to allow Shell to use Seattle as base for Arctic drilling

Categories: Correspondence

-----Original Message-----

From: anne chafee [<mailto:anne@senrei.com>]
Sent: Tuesday, January 13, 2015 9:02 AM
To: Mailbox, Commission-Public-Records
Subject: proposal to allow Shell to use Seattle as base for Arctic drilling

To the Port Commissioners,

I respectfully submit my opinion regarding Shell's proposal to use Seattle as their base for Arctic exploration and drilling operations. I have two main objections. First, I do not believe we should support an endeavor that so clearly undermines the world's efforts to slow climate change. Second, as we recently read in the New York Times 12/30/2014 article, "The Wreck of the Kulluk", Shell is not a company that is prepared to manage this kind of operation in an environmentally responsible way.

Thank you for considering my opinion.

Anne Chafee

Mannion, Brian

From: Mailbox, Commission-Public-Records
Sent: Tuesday, January 13, 2015 10:04 AM
To: Mannion, Brian
Subject: FW: Oppose port fossil fuel projects - support gravel transport

Categories: Correspondence

From: Keith Neal [<mailto:windrider4002@yahoo.com>]
Sent: Monday, January 12, 2015 8:50 PM
To: Mailbox, Commission-Public-Records
Subject: Oppose port fossil fuel projects - support gravel transport

Dear Port of Seattle Commissioners,
As a long term Seattle resident and voter I oppose Terminal 5 being used for Arctic oil exploitation or provisioning for LNG production. I support Terminal 5 being used for gravel transport on the Sea-Tac 3rd runway project.

Sincerely,
Keith Neal

Mannion, Brian

From: Mailbox, Commission-Public-Records
Sent: Tuesday, January 13, 2015 10:04 AM
To: Mannion, Brian
Subject: FW: Oppose Shell using Port of Seattle

Categories: Correspondence

From: antoniapotter@comcast.net [mailto:antoniapotter@comcast.net]
Sent: Monday, January 12, 2015 8:29 PM
To: Mailbox, Commission-Public-Records
Subject: Oppose Shell using Port of Seattle

I oppose allowing Port of Seattle to be used by Shell as part of its Arctic drilling operations. We do not want to increase climate change. Antonia Potter

Mannion, Brian

From: Mailbox, Commission-Public-Records
Sent: Monday, January 12, 2015 5:36 PM
To: Albro, Thomas; Barnard, Thomas; Bellezza, DeAnna; Bowman, Stephanie; Bowman, Stephanie; Bryant, Bill; Creighton, John; Dressler, Amy; Ehl, Larry; Gregoire, Courtney; Mannion, Brian; Schirato, LeeAnne; Wolpa, Lindsay
Subject: Public Comment - FW: Future of Terminal 5 should not include Shell's Arctic drilling operations
Categories: Correspondence

Commissioners:

A copy of these comments from Jared Howe will be available for you tomorrow at the afternoon Commission meeting.

Paul J. White

Commission Clerk
206-787-3210 / 206-390-7738 - white.p@portseattle.org

From: Jared Howe [<mailto:jaredchowe@gmail.com>]
Sent: Monday, January 12, 2015 2:34 PM
To: Mailbox, Commission-Public-Records
Subject: Future of Terminal 5 should not include Shell's Arctic drilling operations

To whom it may concern,

At the Port of Seattle, you have done so much to reduce your own carbon footprint and work to offer green options.

That being said, helping Shell Oil extract and burn more fossil fuels, particularly from the Arctic, would be a step in exactly the wrong direction for the climate.

As elected public officials making decisions about the use of our Port, I urge you to oppose Shell's proposal to use Terminal 5 as part of its Arctic drilling operations.

Thank you for considering my views. I would appreciate receiving a response to this message.

Sincerely,

Jared Howe

Dressler, Amy

From: Gayle Kosydar <gayle.kosydar@sistah-g.com>
Sent: Tuesday, January 13, 2015 11:42 AM
To: Mailbox, Commission-Public-Records
Subject: Shell

Dear Port of Seattle Commission,
Please do not allow Shell to use the Port of Seattle for their arctic drilling operation.
Thank You
Gayle Kosydar